

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	, FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,422	01/22/2002	Johan Frederik Dijksman	NL 010039	7251	
•	24737 7590 11/06/2003 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 300				SNIDER, THERESA T	
BRIARCLIFF				PAPER NUMBER	
			1744 DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	, 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ ((\(\lambda\))
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comme	10/055,422	DIJKSMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Theresa T. Snider	1744
Th MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it is any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .	
• • •	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the ments is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2002</u> i		
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		• .
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: □		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has been	n received
attachment(s)	. ,	,
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/055,422

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology; lines 3 and 10, 'said', lines 4 and 6, 'means'; line 8, 'comprises'. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Exemplary of such:

For ease of reading, it is suggested the appropriate headings ('Summary of the Invention', 'Brief Description of the Drawings', etc) be inserted in the appropriate location.

Page 1, line 28, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'much time'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Exemplary of such:

Claim 1, lines 1-6, it is unclear as to whether Applicant is intending to set forth a Jepson format or simply a preamble;

Application/Control Number: 10/055,422

Art Unit: 1744

Line 6, 'characterized in that' should be replaced with a phrase more commonly used in US practice;

Line 8, it is unclear as to how one can have rolling motion of an object that isn't really there along a direction that isn't really there.

Claims 2-5, line 1, 'A' should be replaced with 'The';

Line 1, 'characterized in that' should be replaced with a phrase more commonly used in US practice.

Claim 2, line 2, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'arranged at regular intervals in accordance';

Line 2, it is unclear as to how something can be arranged in accordance with an object that isn't really there;

Line 3, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'in accordance';

Line 4, 'separate' from what?

Line 5, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'number of rolls'.

Claim 4, line 2, it is unclear s to what is meant by 'substantially up to'.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- Claims 2-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,422

Art Unit: 1744

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

Page 4

prior art discloses robots for vacuum cleaning surfaces having housings, a suction unit, a suction

nozzle, a motor drivable wheel system and an electrical control member HOWEVER fails to

disclose or fairly suggest the displacement of the robot, as controlled by the control member,

being a substantially cycloid movement brought about by a rolling motion of a circle along a line

of displacement of the housing over the surface to be cleaned.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Nakanishi, Dyson et al. and Yokoi disclose robots for vacuum cleaning surfaces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (703) 305-0554.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday-Friday (6:30AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Theresa T. Snider Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

TTC

rg 5. d

11/3/03

ţ